

Vanguard®

Retirement distribution decisions among DC participants

Vanguard Research

October 2016

Jean A. Young¹

The overwhelming majority of retirement-age defined contribution (DC) plan participants leave their employer's retirement plan within five years of separation from service, mostly for an individual retirement account (IRA) rollover account. When plans permit flexible distributions, retirement-age participants are more likely to remain in the employer plan. This finding has implications for the "to versus through" debate in target-date fund design, as well as for the demand for in-plan versus out-of-plan retirement income programs.

¹ The author would like to thank Daniel C. Proctor for his support of the data analysis.

Executive summary

- **Preservation of assets.** Seven in 10 retirement-age participants (defined as those age 60 and older terminating from a DC plan) have preserved their savings in a tax-deferred account after five calendar years. In total, 9 in 10 retirement dollars are preserved, either in an IRA or employer plan account.
- **Cash-out of smaller balances.** The 3 in 10 retirement-age participants who cashed out from their employer plan over five years typically held smaller balances. The average amount cashed out is approximately \$20,000, whereas participants preserving assets have average balances ranging from \$160,000 to \$290,000, depending on the termination year cohort.
- **In-plan behavior.** Only about one-fifth of retirement-age participants and one-fifth of assets remain in the employer plan after five calendar years following the year of termination. In other words, most retirement-age participants and their plan assets leave the employer-sponsored qualified plan system over time. Only 13% of plans allowed terminated participants to take ad hoc partial distributions. However, in plans allowing ad hoc partial distribution, 22% of participants remain in the plan, compared with only 17% of participants in plans without ad hoc partial distributions, a relative increase of about 30%. Similarly, 26% of assets remain in the plan compared with only 18%, a relative increase of about 50%.
- **IRA behavior.** Independent research indicates that withdrawals from IRAs are infrequent among retirement-age account holders until age 70, when the required minimum distribution (RMD) rules apply.
- **Implications.** These findings have implications for the design of target-date funds² and retirement income programs. The tendency of participants to preserve plan assets at retirement supports the notion of “through” glide paths in target-date fund design. In other words, target-date designs should encourage an investment strategy at retirement that recognizes assets are generally preserved for several years post-retirement. Also, with the rising importance of lump-sum distributions, participants will need assistance in translating these pools of savings into a regular income stream. Based on current retirement-age participant behavior, most of these retirement income decisions will be made in the IRA marketplace, not within employer-sponsored qualified plans, although this may evolve gradually with the growing incidence of in-plan payout structures and the new Department of Labor (DOL) fiduciary rule. One way sponsors might encourage greater use of in-plan distributions is by eliminating rules that preclude partial ad hoc distributions from accounts.

² Investments in target-date funds are subject to the risks of their underlying funds. The year in the fund name refers to the approximate year (the target date) when an investor in the fund would retire and leave the workforce. The fund will gradually shift its emphasis from more aggressive investments to more conservative ones based on its target date. An investment in a target-date fund is not guaranteed at any time, including on or after the target date.

Background

As defined contribution (DC) plans have emerged as the dominant type of private-sector retirement plan, policymakers, plan sponsors, and providers have increasingly focused attention on the distribution decisions older participants make when they stop working. Participant behavior at retirement age is of particular interest in addressing two contemporary questions: First, should the glide path of target-date funds be based on a “to retirement” or a “through retirement” approach? The former would suggest a more conservative glide path, assuming assets are used immediately at retirement; the latter, an investment strategy that recognizes that assets are generally preserved for several years post-retirement. Second, should retirement income programs—designed to help participants translate account balances into income streams—be offered within qualified retirement plans? Or is the IRA rollover marketplace the more likely destination for plan assets in retirement?

To better understand the decisions made by participants at retirement, this paper examines the decisions made by participants age 60 and older who separated from service with their employer. For convenience, we define this group as “retirement-age” participants—although some will have separated from service with one employer only to continue to work with another.

Data characteristics

The data for this analysis comes from Vanguard’s DC recordkeeping clients over the period January 1, 2005, through December 31, 2015. We examine the plan distribution behavior through year-end 2015 of 365,700 participants age 60 and older who terminated employment in calendar years 2005 through 2014 (Figure 1). The average account balance of participants in this sample ranged from \$107,900 to \$187,700, depending on the termination year cohort.

Figure 1. Participants age 60 and older by year of termination cohort

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	All
Number of participants	20,800	23,200	29,300	33,100	39,600	35,700	38,800	41,500	50,200	53,500	365,700
Average balances											
Prior to termination	\$114,200	\$126,100	\$133,700	\$127,700	\$107,900	\$130,700	\$143,000	\$149,400	\$166,100	\$182,200	\$142,800
2015 year-end	\$131,200	\$140,500	\$141,500	\$135,500	\$129,900	\$148,100	\$159,000	\$167,800	\$179,700	\$187,700	\$156,500

Note: December 31, 2015, value for assets remaining in the plan plus actual transaction value for assets distributed from the plan.

Source: Vanguard, 2016.

Overall, approximately half of the participants in our sample had account balances of less than \$50,000 (Figure 2). Three in 10 retirement-age participants had worked for the plan sponsor less than 10 years—one factor affecting the number of smaller balances, since account balances rise with tenure. Forty-six percent of retirement-age participants had 20 years or more of job tenure. These longer-tenured participants had average account balances of about \$215,200.

Distribution options

DC plan participants who separate from service with an employer may choose among several options. They may:

- Remain in their employer’s plan without initiating installment payments.³
- Remain in their employer’s plan taking installment payments.
- Roll over their assets to an IRA.
- Take their account balance in cash.
- Pursue a combination of the above strategies.

During the 2005–2015 period, 80% of participants age 60 and older who terminated employment chose a single option (100% of account disbursements fell into a single category); one-fifth chose a combination of the distribution options. In our analysis, we categorized participants based on whether 80% or more of their account disbursements fell into a particular category. We created a “combination” category for participants whose behavior could not be classified according to the 80% rule.⁴

Participant distribution decisions

Our analysis approach is based on calendar year of termination cohorts. Participants terminating in each of the calendar years in our sample, 2005 through 2014, are considered a distinct termination year cohort and are followed separately through year-end 2015. Thus, the 2005 cohort, which consists of participants age 60 and older separating from service in calendar year 2005, is examined over ten subsequent calendar years: 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015. The 2014 cohort is analyzed only over one subsequent calendar year: 2015. We only analyze participant DC plan behavior—if a participant rolls over the DC plan account balance to an IRA and then cashes out the IRA; we do not observe the IRA cash-out behavior in this analysis.

³ Plan sponsors have the option of requiring participants with balances of less than \$5,000 to leave the plan. If the balance is greater than \$1,000 and the participant doesn’t make a distribution election, the plan sponsor must roll over the balance to an IRA; balances of less than \$1,000 can be distributed in cash (i.e., as a check payable to the participant).

⁴ See the Methodology section at the end of this report for additional details regarding our classification scheme.

Figure 2. Account balance and tenure characteristics

Participants age 60 and older by termination year cohort

	Year of termination cohort										All
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
Account balance (prior to termination)											
<\$1,000	10%	8%	10%	10%	10%	9%	9%	8%	8%	9%	9%
\$1,000–\$9,999	16	15	16	17	18	17	16	15	15	15	16
\$10,000–\$24,999	13	13	11	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	12
\$25,000–\$49,999	13	13	12	12	13	12	12	12	11	11	12
Subtotal: <\$50,000	52%	49%	49%	52%	54%	50%	49%	47%	45%	46%	49%
\$50,000–\$99,999	17%	17%	15%	15%	15%	15%	14%	14%	14%	13%	14%
\$100,000–\$249,999	19	21	21	19	19	20	20	20	21	19	20
\$250,000+	12	13	15	14	12	15	17	19	20	22	17
Subtotal: \$50,000 or more	48%	51%	51%	48%	46%	50%	51%	53%	55%	54%	51%
Job tenure (years, prior to termination)											
<3	11%	11%	11%	13%	12%	12%	11%	11%	11%	13%	12%
4–9	19	20	18	18	17	17	17	17	17	16	17
10–19	23	23	24	24	25	25	26	26	26	26	25
20+	47	46	47	45	46	46	46	46	46	45	46
Average account balance by job tenure											
<3	\$37,500	\$35,300	\$32,700	\$23,700	\$20,300	\$26,900	\$29,800	\$33,200	\$34,900	\$32,000	\$30,200
4–9	48,700	55,300	65,300	58,300	50,400	59,900	63,900	67,200	79,000	80,700	64,800
10–19	101,300	113,800	118,700	103,200	86,200	102,200	108,900	121,300	135,000	147,400	117,000
20+	163,800	183,100	190,300	197,700	161,300	198,200	217,800	229,800	251,100	279,600	215,200

Source: Vanguard, 2016.

As the 2005 termination year cohort spans the longest time period, it is perhaps the best illustration of the dynamics of plan distribution behavior over time (Figures 3 and 4). In the 2005 termination year cohort:

- Two-thirds of retirement-age participants had preserved assets in a tax-deferred account through the end of 2015 (Figure 3, first column). Specifically, 1% remained in the plan with no installments, 7% remained in the plan with installment payouts⁵, and 59% completed an IRA rollover.
- About 3 in 10 retirement-age participants took a cash-out (cashing out either their entire account or more than 80%), accounting for 5% of assets.
- About 9 in 10 retirement savings dollars were preserved through the end of 2015. Specifically, 3% of assets remained in the plan with no installment payments, 12% of assets remained in the plan with installment payments, and 73% of assets rolled over to an IRA .

We regard the percentage of assets “preserved for retirement” as a low estimate because some of the “combination” participants also preserved part of their savings. The 5% of participants, who used a combination strategy, accounted for 7% of assets, some of which were preserved for retirement.

The other cohorts—retirement-age participants terminating in calendar years 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014—demonstrate similar behavior. The main difference is that, given the shorter time periods until the end of 2015, a greater proportion of retirement-age participants and their assets remain in employer plans. For example, in the 2014 termination year cohort, 26% of participants remained in the plan (versus 1% in the 2005 cohort), and only 47% of participants rolled over to an IRA (versus 59% for the 2005 cohort). Another difference is that cash-outs drift up by several percentage points over time.

Figure 3. Plan distribution behavior as of year-end 2015

Participants age 60 and older by termination year cohort

	Year of termination cohort									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Percentage of participants at year-end 2015										
Remain in plan no installments	1%	3%	4%	5%	8%	10%	13%	16%	20%	26%
Remain in plan taking installments	7	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	3
Rollover	59	60	59	57	55	55	54	54	52	47
Preserved for retirement	67%	69%	68%	67%	68%	70%	71%	74%	75%	76%
Combination	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
Cash	28%	27%	28%	29%	28%	26%	25%	23%	21%	20%
Percentage of assets at year-end 2015										
Remain in plan no installments	3%	4%	7%	10%	13%	16%	19%	21%	25%	30%
Remain in plan taking installments	12	10	9	9	8	7	6	7	5	5
Rollover	73	75	74	71	69	66	66	63	62	57
Preserved for retirement	88%	89%	90%	90%	90%	89%	91%	91%	92%	92%
Combination	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%
Cash	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%

Note: December 31, 2015, value for assets remaining in the plan plus actual transaction value for assets distributed from the plan. Participants are categorized to “cash”, “rollover”, and “installments” if greater than 80% of their account disbursements fell into these categories. Participants are categorized as “remain in plan” if more than 80% of their account balance remains in the plan. All other participants were categorized to the “combination” category, as one disbursement type did not dominate their account disbursements and less than 80% of their account remains in the plan.


Source: Vanguard, 2016.

⁵ About 6 in 10 participants with installment payouts are satisfying the Internal Revenue Code’s RMD rules.


Figure 4. Plan distribution behavior as of year-end 2015

Participants age 60 and older by termination year cohort

Participants



Assets



Note: December 31, 2015, value for assets remaining in the plan plus actual transaction value for assets distributed from the plan. Participants are categorized to “cash”, “rollover”, and “installments” if greater than 80% of their account disbursements fell into these categories. Participants are categorized as “remain in plan” if more than 80% of their account balance remains in the plan. All other participants were categorized to the “combination” category, as one disbursement type did not dominate their account disbursements and less than 80% of their account remains in the plan.

Source: Vanguard, 2016.

One notable feature of 2008 and 2009 is the financial crisis that caused stock prices to fall substantially during that period. What seems remarkable is that cash-out rates for retirement-age participants terminating in 2008 and 2009 were not substantively different from cash-out rates for retirement-age participants in earlier years. At least in this analysis, the financial crisis seems to have had little effect on plan distribution behavior among retirement-age participants.

Impact of small balances

Cash distributions are strongly linked to smaller balances (Figure 5). The average balance of participants cashing out their entire account balance was around \$21,000 in all termination year cohorts. By comparison, the average balance for participants using any other strategy—remaining in the plan, installments, IRA rollover, or a combination—was between \$160,000 and \$290,000, depending on the strategy and termination year cohort.

In-plan behavior

Participants categorized as “remain in plan,” “installments,” and “combination” can have some assets in the plan at the end of our measurement period.

For the 2005 cohort, 13% of plan participants have some assets remaining in an employer plan after ten calendar years following the year of termination (Figure 6). In addition, 11% of the assets remained in the plan after ten years. Data from the other calendar year cohorts suggests a similar pattern, although they cover shorter periods.

Role of plan rules

One important question is how plan rules on partial distributions might affect participants’ willingness to stay within an employer plan. Eighty-seven percent of Vanguard DC plans in 2014 required terminated participants to take a distribution of their entire account balance if an ad hoc partial distribution was desired⁶. For example, if a terminated participant has \$100,000 in savings, and wishes to make a one-time withdrawal of \$100, he or she must withdraw all savings from the plan—for example, by rolling over the entire \$100,000 to an IRA and withdrawing the \$100 from the IRA, or by executing an IRA rollover of \$99,900 and taking a \$100 cash distribution.

Figure 5. Distribution behavior and average balances

Participants age 60 and older by termination year cohort

	Year of termination cohort										Average
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
2015 average account balance by year of termination cohort											
Remain in plan no installments	\$239,600	\$253,300	\$246,200	\$242,700	\$218,900	\$238,100	\$233,200	\$226,300	\$225,600	\$214,300	\$233,800
Remain in plan taking installments	242,000	224,200	243,200	239,700	230,200	240,100	250,600	292,900	269,000	267,500	249,900
Rollover	162,300	173,300	176,100	168,900	159,500	178,400	191,600	198,300	213,300	229,500	185,100
Combination	199,900	213,800	217,700	230,100	207,400	238,800	247,600	273,300	278,200	289,600	239,600
Cash	21,900	23,800	22,500	20,300	19,000	20,700	21,600	21,600	20,600	19,000	21,100

Note: December 31, 2015, value for assets remaining in the plan plus actual transaction value for assets distributed from the plan.


Source: Vanguard, 2016.

⁶ Ad hoc partial distributions are distinct from the periodic regular installment payments discussed previously.


Figure 6. In-plan behavior over time

Participants age 60 and older by termination year cohort

Percentage of participants remaining in plan, taking installments, or a combination of options



Percentage of assets remaining in plan



Note: The participant analysis includes the following participant categories: combination, installment, and remain in plan. The assets analysis represents the December 31, 2015, value for assets remaining in the plan plus actual transaction value for assets distributed from plan for all five participant categories.

Source: Vanguard, 2016.

Only 13% of plans allow terminated participants to take ad hoc partial distributions. However, plans allowing partial distributions tend to be larger plans, and as a result, 3 in 10 retirement-age participants are in plans allowing ad hoc partial distributions.

It appears that participant behavior is affected by plan rules on partial distributions. For the 2010 termination year cohort, we analyzed participants in plans allowing partial distributions separately from those in plans that did not. Their distribution behavior follows a similar trend over time. However, about 30% more participants and 50% more assets remain in the employer plan when ad hoc partial distributions are allowed (Figure 7). In the 2010 cohort, five years after termination, 22% of participants and 26% of assets remain in plans allowing partial distributions compared with only 17% of participants and 18% of assets for plans that do not allow partial distributions. This result suggests that not allowing partial distributions is one factor leading participants to exit their employer’s plan.

IRA withdrawal decisions


If many participants leaving an employer plan roll over to an IRA, how do participants behave once they have transferred to the IRA? Our data does not permit us to track individual participant decisions across the myriad number of financial institutions they might roll over to. However, independent survey data provides some insights into IRA behavior. Generally speaking, withdrawals from IRAs are infrequent until the RMD rules apply.

The Investment Company Institute (ICI) maintains an account-level database with more than 16 million individual IRA investors and also conducts an annual survey of IRA-owning households in the United States.⁷ ICI reports that 21% of IRA-owning households ages 60 to 69 took a withdrawal in 2014 and 80% of households age 70 or older took a withdrawal (Figure 8). ICI also reports that 59% of households age 70 or older based the withdrawal amount on the RMD rules.


Figure 7. In-plan behavior and plan values

Participants age 60 and older for 2010 termination year cohort

Percentage of participants remaining in plan, taking installments, or a combination of options



Percentage of assets remaining in plan



Note: The participant analysis includes the following participant categories: combination, installment, and remain in plan. The assets analysis represents the December 31, 2015, value for assets remaining in the plan plus actual transaction value for assets distributed from plan for all five participant categories.

Source: Vanguard, 2016.

⁷ For an in-depth analysis of IRA trends from the Investment Company Institute (ICI), see Holden, Sarah, and Steven Bass, *The IRA Investor Profile: Traditional IRA Investors Activity, 2007–2014*, 2016, www.ici.org. ICI reports that in 2014, only 23% of traditional IRA-owning individuals took a withdrawal.

The Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI) analyzed IRA withdrawal behavior using a nationally representative sample of households ages 61 to 79.⁸ EBRI reports that, among households taking IRA withdrawals in their 60s, 21% to 41% of IRAs households (depending on the age of the household) took a withdrawal from their IRA. By comparison, more than 80% of households in their 70s, when RMDs apply, took a withdrawal from their IRA.


Finally, Poterba, Venti, and Wise examine withdrawals from personal retirement accounts (PRAs), which combine all tax-deferred accounts including 401(k) plans, IRAs, and similar accounts.⁹ They find only 18%

of PRA-owning households between the ages of 60 and 69 take withdrawals with annual withdrawals representing about 2% of account balances. After reaching age 72, 68% of PRA-owning households take withdrawals with annual withdrawals representing about 5% of account balances. They also note that during the period they studied, the average rate of return earned on PRA balances was higher than the withdrawal rate, and average PRA balances continued to grow through at least age 85.

Overall there is little evidence of widespread withdrawal behavior from IRAs for participants before the RMD age.

Figure 8. Percentage of individuals making IRA withdrawals

Individuals owning traditional IRAs, 2014



Source: Holden, Sarah, and Steven Bass, *The IRA Investor Profile: Traditional IRA Investors Activity, 2007–2014*, 2016, www.ici.org.

⁸ See Sudipto Banerjee, 2013, *IRA Withdrawals: How Much, When, and Other Savings Behavior*, www.ebri.org.

⁹ See James M. Poterba, Steven F. Venti and David A. Wise, 2011, *The Drawdown of Personal Retirement Assets*, www.nber.org.

Implications

Our analysis of retirement-age plan participants demonstrates a number of important findings regarding plan distribution behavior. First, 7 in 10 participants take steps to preserve assets, and 9 in 10 plan dollars are preserved for retirement. Second, cash-out behavior is strongly linked to smaller account balances. Those cashing out have average balances of \$21,000. Other behaviors—remaining in the plan, rolling over to an IRA, or combination strategies—are linked to average balances of \$160,000 to \$290,000 (depending on the year of termination). Third, only about one-fifth of retirement-age participants and one-fifth of assets remain in the employer plan after five calendar years following the year of termination. In other words, most retirement-age participants and their plan assets leave the employer-sponsored qualified plan system over time. This termination behavior seems linked to plan rules that inhibit ad hoc or flexible withdrawals from DC plans. Finally, most retirement age IRA-owning households and most IRA assets are not accessed until after age 70 when the RMD rules apply.

These findings have implications for two contemporary issues: the “to versus through” debate in the design of the glide path for target-date funds and the expected demand for in-plan retirement income programs.

In terms of target-date funds, our findings suggest that most plan assets are preserved in tax-deferred retirement accounts. Participants’ strong tendency to preserve assets for retirement supports a “through” glide path in target-date funds—in other words, a glide path that assumes that participants will remain invested into retirement. In terms of retirement income strategies, it appears that most retirement income decisions will be made outside of employer plans because the bulk of assets are rolled over into IRAs. Moreover, fewer than 10% of participants make use of current in-plan installment options.

It is possible, of course, that in-plan behavior among retirement-age participants could change, especially as plans begin to introduce more payout structures for retirement-age participants, or lift restrictions on ad hoc withdrawals. Many larger plans offer other features—such as lower-cost investment options, stable value funds, or advice programs—that are either not available in the IRA marketplace or are available at a higher cost. Additional education about these benefits might encourage more participants to remain in their plans. Finally, the recently issued DOL fiduciary rules effective in April 2017 may impact in-plan behavior among retirement-age participants.

An important future research question concerns participant motivation for choosing an IRA rollover compared with an employer sponsored qualified plan account, and whether this motivation is explained by the desire for consolidation and financial simplification, the influence of advisors, or participant concerns, real or imagined, about the rules imposed by the employer plan on retirees.

Methodology

DC plan participants who separate from service with an employer may choose among several options. They may:

- Remain in their employer’s plan without initiating installment payments.¹⁰
- Remain in their employer’s plan taking installment payments.
- Roll over their assets to an IRA.
- Take their account balance in cash.
- Pursue a combination of the above strategies.

In analyzing those remaining in their employer’s plan, we separately distinguished those who remained in their employer plan and also established installment payments from their account. Fifty-nine percent of plans on the Vanguard recordkeeping system allowed participants to establish installment payments in 2015—periodic monthly, quarterly, or annual payments from their account. Nearly all plans have automated RMD payment. During the 2005–2015 period, 80% of participants age 60 and older who terminated employment chose a single option (100% of account disbursements fell into a single category); one-fifth chose a combination of the distribution options.

In our analysis, we categorized participants based on whether 80% or more of their account disbursements fell into a particular category. We are able to categorize participant assets based on both the participant category and the actual dollar flows. For example, suppose three years after termination, a participant had taken a cash distribution equal to 15% of his or her account balance, with 85% of assets remaining in his or her plan account. In terms of participant counts, the participant would be classified as remaining in the plan; in terms of asset flows, 15% of flows could be categorized as “cash” and 85% of flows as “remaining in the plan.”

We created a “combination” category for participants whose behavior could not be classified according to the 80% rule. For example, if a participant cashed out 50% of assets and rolled over 50% of assets to an IRA, he or she was classified as a “combination” participant because neither strategy (cash-out or rollover) dominated the distribution decision by exceeding 80% of assets. In our analysis of aggregate asset flows, the assets are classified based on actual flows—50% rollover and 50% cash distribution.

¹⁰ Plan sponsors have the option of requiring participants with balances of less than \$5,000 to leave the plan. If the balance is greater than \$1,000 and the participant doesn’t make a distribution election, the plan sponsor must roll over the balance to an IRA; balances of less than \$1,000 can be distributed in cash (i.e., as a check payable to the participant).

References

Banerjee, Sudipto, *IRA Withdrawals: How Much, When, and Other Savings Behavior*, Employee Benefits Research Institute, 2013, www.ebri.org.

Holden, Sarah, and Steven Bass, *The IRA Investor Profile: Traditional IRA Investors Activity, 2007–2014*, 2016, www.ici.org.

Poterba, James M. Steven F. Venti and David A. Wise, *The Drawdown of Personal Retirement Assets*, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2011, www.nber.org.

Connect with Vanguard® > institutional.vanguard.com

Vanguard research >
Vanguard Center for Investor Research
Vanguard Investment Strategy Group

For more information about Vanguard funds, visit institutional.vanguard.com or call 800-523-1036 to obtain a prospectus or, if available, a summary prospectus. Investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses, and other important information about a fund are contained in the prospectus; read and consider it carefully before investing.

Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against a loss.

All investing is subject to risk, including the possible loss of the money you invest.



Vanguard Research

P.O. Box 2900
Valley Forge, PA 19482-2900

© 2016 The Vanguard Group, Inc.
All rights reserved.
Vanguard Marketing Corporation, Distributor.

CRRRDDCP 102016